## Enrollment Management Data What is it?

Why is it important to understand?

## Prepared by Keith Wurtz

Director, Office of Research \& Planning

## Purpose of the Enrollment and Management Committee

- Two Broad Purposes
- Efficiency
- Retention and Success of Students


## Location of Enrollment and Management Information

- EIS (Executive Information System)
- PPR (Planning and Program Review)
- This presentation focuses on the information in EIS only
- Future presentations will incorporate data provided in program review


## EIS Section Efficiency Data Sections

|  | Data |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| TermSec | (\#Sec | Units | Cap | EnrBeg | EnrMax | EnrCenTotal | EnrActive | FtesTotal | WSCH | FacLoad | Wsch/FacLd |
| 2005FA | 545 | $1,736.3$ | 19,686 | 13,003 | 15,270 | 13,902 | 11,875 | $1,770.85$ | 53,125 | 114.13 | 465.48 |
| 2006FA | 569 | $1,799.0$ | 19,510 | 13,848 | 16,346 | 14,656 | 12,539 | $1,865.24$ | 55,957 | 118.99 | 470.27 |
| 2007FA | 620 | $1,964.5$ | 20,810 | 15,034 | 17,230 | 15,554 | 13,267 | $1,997.97$ | 59,939 | 129.84 | 461.64 |
| 2008FA | 660 | $2,075.5$ | 22,195 | 16,888 | 18,867 | 17,562 | 15,008 | $2,227.58$ | 66,827 | 137.54 | 485.88 |
| 2009FA | 623 | $1,989.0$ | 21,919 | 18,095 | 20,615 | 18,763 | 16,250 | $2,374.92$ | 71,248 | 134.98 | 527.84 |
| 2010FA | 587 | $1,863.5$ | 21,012 | 17,463 | 19,733 | 18,237 | 15,923 | $2,305.91$ | 69,177 | 123.44 | 560.41 |
| 2011FA | 542 | $1,714.0$ | 19,078 | 15,549 | 17,963 | 16,586 | 14,819 | $2,061.46$ | 61,844 | 113 | 547.29 |
| Grand Total | 7954 | $24,471.8$ | 271,684 | 194,386 | 229,905 | 208,038 | 177,066 | $25,780.00$ | 773,400 | 1573.5 | 491.52 |

- \#Sec - Refers to the number of sections offered.
- Sections refers to the number of times a course is offered.
- How many total sections did Crafton offer in Fall 2011?


## Number of ANAT-101 Sections

## Essentials of Human Anatomy \& Physiology

$\left.$| Term | Course | Sect <br> No. | Units | Cap |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | | Enrolled |
| :---: |
| Census | \right\rvert\,

# EIS Section Efficiency Data 

## Units

|  | Data |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TermSec | \#Sec | Units) | Cap | EnrBeg | EnrMax | EnrCenTotal | EnrActive | FtesTotal | WSCH | FacLoad | Wsch/FacLd | \%Retent |
| 2005FA | 545 | 1,736.3 | 19,686 | 13,003 | 15,270 | 13,902 | 11,875 | 1,770.85 | 53,125 | 114.13 | 465.48 | 85\% |
| 2006FA | 569 | 1,799.0 | 19,510 | 13,848 | 16,346 | 14,656 | 12,539 | 1,865.24 | 55,957 | 118.99 | 470.27 | 86\% |
| 2007FA | 620 | 1,964.5 | 20,810 | 15,034 | 17,230 | 15,554 | 13,267 | 1,997.97 | 59,939 | 129.84 | 461.64 | 85\% |
| 2008FA | 660 | 2,075.5 | 22,195 | 16,888 | 18,867 | 17,562 | 15,008 | 2,227.58 | 66,827 | 137.54 | 485.88 | 85\% |
| 2009FA | 623 | 1,989.0 | 21,919 | 18,095 | 20,615 | 18,763 | 16,250 | 2,374.92 | 71,248 | 134.98 | 527.84 | 87\% |
| 2010FA | 587 | 1,863.5 | 21,012 | 17,463 | 19,733 | 18,237 | 15,923 | 2,305.91 | 69,177 | 123.44 | 560.41 | 87\% |
| 2011FA | 542 | 1,714.0 | 19,078 | 15,549 | 17,963 | 16,586 | 14,819 | 2,061.46 | 61,844 | 113 | 547.29 | 89\% |
| Grand Total | 7954 | 24,471.8 | 271,684 | 194,386 | 229,905 | 208,038 | 177,066 | 25,780.00 | 773,400 | 1573.5 | 491.52 | 85 |

- Units - Refers to the number of credits offered.
- How many units were offered to students in Fall 2011?



## Number of Units

## Essentials of Human Anatomy \& Physiology

|  |  | Sect |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Term | Course | No. | Units Cap | Enrolled |  |
| Census |  |  |  |  |  |

# What has happened to the number of units offered in the last five fall semesters? 



## EIS Section Efficiency Data Capacity (Cap)

|  | Data |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TermSec | \#Sec | Units | Cap | EnrBeg | EnrMax | EnrCenTotal | EnrActive | FtesTotal | WSCH | FacLoad | Wsch/FacLd | \%Retent |
| 2005FA | 545 | 1,736.3 | 3 19,686 | 13,003 | 15,270 | 13,902 | 11,875 | 1,770.85 | 53,125 | 114.13 | 465.48 | 85\% |
| 2006FA | 569 | 1,799.0 | O 19,510 | 13,848 | 16,346 | 14,656 | 12,539 | 1,865.24 | 55,957 | 118.99 | 470.27 | 86\% |
| 2007FA | 620 | 1,964.5 | 5 20,810 | 15,034 | 17,230 | 15,554 | 13,267 | 1,997.97 | 59,939 | 129.84 | 461.64 | 85\% |
| 2008FA | 660 | 2,075.5 | 5 22,195 | 16,888 | 18,867 | 17,562 | 15,008 | 2,227.58 | 66,827 | 137.54 | 485.88 | 85\% |
| 2009FA | 623 | 1,989.0 | 0 21,919 | 18,095 | 20,615 | 18,763 | 16,250 | 2,374.92 | 71,248 | 134.98 | 527.84 | 87\% |
| 2010FA | 587 | 1,863.5 | 521.012 | 17,463 | 19,733 | 18,237 | 15,923 | 2,305.91 | 69,177 | 123.44 | 560.41 | 87\% |
| 2011FA | 542 | 1,714.0 | 0 19,078 | 15,549 | 17,963 | 16,586 | 14,819 | 2,061.46 | 61,844 | 113 | 547.29 | 89\% |
| Grand Total | 7954 | 24,471.8 | 8 271,684 | 194,386 | 229,905 | 208,038 | 177,066 | 25,780.00 | 773,400 | 1573.5 | 491.52 | 85\% |

- Cap - Refers to capacity and is the total number of enrollments (i.e. seats) allowed or the total number of students (i.e. headcount) allowed to enroll in each section.
- What was the total capacity in Fall 2011?


## MAXIMUM CAPACITIES

## 7 PERSONS OR 1050 LBS. <br> 1400 LBS. PERSONS, MOTORS, GEAR 130 H. P. MOTOR

THIS BOAT COMPLIES WITH U.S. COAST GUARD
SAFETY STANDARDS IN EFFECT ON THE DATE
OF CERTIFICATION
ABC BOATS
XYZ MANUFACTURING, INC. ANYWHERE, USA 99999

What is the difference between enrollments and students (i.e. headcount)?

|  | Term | Name | Course \& Section | Units |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2006FA | Kimberly | CIS-101-04 | 3 |  |
| 2 | 2006FA | Kimberly | HIST-100-03 | 3 | 1 |
| 3 | 2006FA | Kimberly | SOC-130-02 | 3 |  |
| 4 | 2006FA | Robert | MUSIC-135X4-02 | 2 | 2 |
| 5 | 2006FA | Michael | PE/I-168X4-04 | 1 | 3 |
| 6 | 2006FA | Cecelia | OCEAN-101-01 | 3 | 4 |

Total number of enrollments is 6 .

Total number of students is 4.

## EIS Section Efficiency Data Beginning Enrollments (EnrBeg)

|  | Data |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TermSec | \#Sec | Units | Cap | EnrBeg) | EnrMax | EnrCenTotal | EnrActive | FtesTotal | WSCH | FacLoad | Wsch/FacLd | \%Retent |
| 2005FA | 545 | 1,736.3 | 19,686 | 13,003 | 15,270 | 13,902 | 11,875 | 1,770.85 | 53,125 | 114.13 | 465.48 | 85\% |
| 2006FA | 569 | 1,799.0 | 19,510 | 13,848 | 16,346 | 14,656 | 12,539 | 1,865.24 | 55,957 | 118.99 | 470.27 | 86\% |
| 2007FA | 620 | 1,964.5 | 20,810 | 15,034 | 17,230 | 15,554 | 13,267 | 1,997.97 | 59,939 | 129.84 | 461.64 | 85\% |
| 2008FA | 660 | 2,075.5 | 22,195 | 16,888 | 18,867 | 17,562 | 15,008 | 2,227.58 | 66,827 | 137.54 | 485.88 | 85\% |
| 2009FA | 623 | 1,989.0 | 21,919 | 18,095 | 20,615 | 18,763 | 16,250 | 2,374.92 | 71,248 | 134.98 | 527.84 | 87\% |
| 2010FA | 587 | 1,863.5 | 21,012 | 17.463 | 19,733 | 18,237 | 15,923 | 2,305.91 | 69,177 | 123.44 | 560.41 | 87\% |
| 2011FA | 542 | 1,714.0 | 19,078 | 15,549 | 17,963 | 16,586 | 14,819 | 2,061.46 | 61,844 | 113 | 547.29 | 89\% |
| Grand Total | 7954 | 24,471.8 | 271,684 | 194,386 | 229,905 | 208,038 | 177,066 | 25,780.00 | 773,400 | 1573.5 | 491.52 | 85\% |

- EnrBeg (Enrollments at Start of Section) - Refers to the total number of enrollments on the first day of a section.
- What was the total number of enrollments at the start of all sections in Fall 2011?



# EIS Section Efficiency Data Maximum Enrollment (EnrMax) 

|  | Data |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TermSec | \#Sec | Units | Cap | EnrBeg | nrMax) | EnrCenTotal | EnrActive | FtesTotal | WSCH | FacLoad | Wsch/FacLd | \%Retent |
| 2005FA | 545 | 1,736.3 | 19,686 | 13,003 | 15,270 | 13,902 | 11,875 | 1,770.85 | 53,125 | 114.13 | 465.48 | 85\% |
| 2006FA | 569 | 1,799.0 | 19,510 | 13,848 | 16,346 | 14,656 | 12,539 | 1,865.24 | 55,957 | 118.99 | 470.27 | 86\% |
| 2007FA | 620 | 1,964.5 | 20,810 | 15,034 | 17,230 | 15,554 | 13,267 | 1,997.97 | 59,939 | 129.84 | 461.64 | 85\% |
| 2008FA | 660 | 2,075.5 | 22,195 | 16,888 | 18,867 | 17,562 | 15,008 | 2,227.58 | 66,827 | 137.54 | 485.88 | 85\% |
| 2009FA | 623 | 1,989.0 | 21,919 | 18,095 | 20,615 | 18,763 | 16,250 | 2,374.92 | 71,248 | 134.98 | 527.84 | 87\% |
| 2010FA | 587 | 1,863.5 | 21,012 | 17,463 | 19.733 | 18,237 | 15,923 | 2,305.91 | 69,177 | 123.44 | 560.41 | 87\% |
| 2011FA | 542 | 1,714.0 | 19,078 | 15,549 | 17,963 | ) 16,586 | 14,819 | 2,061.46 | 61,844 | 113 | 547.29 | 89\% |
| Grand Total | 7954 | 24,471.8 | 271,684 | 194,386 | 229,905 | 208,038 | 177,066 | 25,780.00 | 773,400 | 1573.5 | 491.52 | 85 |

- EnrMax (Enrollments at their highest point) - Refers to the total number of enrollments when they peaked.
- What was the highest number of enrollments in Fall 2011?



## EIS Section Efficiency Data Census Enrollment (EnrCenTotal)

|  | Data |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TermSec | \#Sec | Units | Cap | EnrBeg | EnrMax | CenTotal | Active | FtesTotal | WSCH | FacLoad | Wsch/FacLd | \%Retent |
| 2005FA | 545 | 1,736.3 | 19,686 | 13,003 | 15,270 | 13,902 | 11,875 | 1,770.85 | 53,125 | 114.13 | 465.48 | 85\% |
| 2006FA | 569 | 1,799.0 | 19,510 | 13,848 | 16,346 | 14,656 | 12,539 | 1,865.24 | 55,957 | 118.99 | 470.27 | 86\% |
| 2007FA | 620 | 1,964.5 | 20,810 | 15,034 | 17,230 | 15,554 | 13,267 | 1,997.97 | 59,939 | 129.84 | 461.64 | 85\% |
| 2008FA | 660 | 2,075.5 | 22,195 | 16,888 | 18,867 | 17,562 | 15,008 | 2,227.58 | 66,827 | 137.54 | 485.88 | 85\% |
| 2009FA | 623 | 1,989.0 | 21,919 | 18,095 | 20,615 | 18,763 | 16,250 | 2,374.92 | 71,248 | 134.98 | 527.84 | 87\% |
| 2010FA | 587 | 1,863.5 | 21,012 | 17,463 | 19,733 | 18.237 | 15,923 | 2,305.91 | 69,177 | 123.44 | 560.41 | 87\% |
| 2011FA | 542 | 1,714.0 | 19,078 | 15,549 | 17,963 | 16,586 | 14,819 | 2,061.46 | 61,844 | 113 | 547.29 | 89\% |
| Grand Total | 7954 | 24,471.8 | 271,684 | 194,386 | 229,905 | 208,038 | 177,066 | 25,780.00 | 773,400 | 1573.5 | 491.52 | 85\% |

- EnrCenTotal - Number of


## Students enrolled at Census.

- What was the number of census enrollments in Fall 2011?



## What is Census?

- Census - a reporting "snapshot in time" at approximately the $20 \%$ point of the section
- The purpose of having a census date is for funding purposes only
- The census date for sections that meet for the entire semester occurs the Monday of the fourth week (i.e. Weekly Census).


## Census Date in Weekly Census Sections

Begins


Census - First
Monday of the $4^{\text {th }}$
February 2012 week

What happens when a section does not span the entire length of a semester (i.e. Daily Census Section)?

- Daily Census Section - Sections that meet on a regular basis for at least five days, but meet for less than the full semester
- Fall 2011: Start Date $=8 / 15 / 11 \rightarrow$ End Date $=12 / 16 / 11$

| Term | Course | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sect } \\ & \text { No } \end{aligned}$ | Start Date | Census Date | End Date | Accounting Method |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2011FA | ACCT-209 | 10 | 8/15/2011 | 9/6/2011 | 12/16/2011 | Weekly Census |
| 2011FA | AH-101 | 55 | 10/13/2011 | 10/20/2011 | 12/8/2011 | Daily Census |
| 2011FA | AH-101 | 30 | 10/17/2011 | 10/24/2011 | 12/7/2011 | Daily Census |

- Answer - The census date changes
- In an 8 week section (i.e. AH-101-30) the census date was the Monday in the second week

Why is the number of maximum enrollments higher than the number of enrollments at census?

Number of Sections Offered from Fall 2007 to Fall 2011

| 25,000 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20,000 | 17,230 | 18,867 | 20,615 | 19,733 | 17,963 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 17,963 |
| 15,000 | 15,554 | 17,562 | 18,763 | 18,237 | $16,586$ |
| 10,000 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5,000 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\rightarrow$ Maximum Enrollments |  |  |  |  |
|  | --Census | Iment |  |  |  |
| 0 | Fall 2007 | Fall 2008 | Fall 2009 | Fall 2010 | Fall 2011 |

# EIS Section Efficiency Data Active Enrollments (EnrActive) 

|  | Data |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TermSec | \#Sec | Units | Cap | EnrBeg | EnrMax | EnrCenTota | EnrActive | DesTotal | WSCH | FacLoad | Wsch/FacLd | Retent |
| 2005FA | 545 | 1,736.3 | 19,686 | 13,003 | 15,270 | 13,902 | 11,875 | 1,770.85 | 53,125 | 114.13 | 465.48 | 85\% |
| 2006FA | 569 | 1,799.0 | 19,510 | 13,848 | 16,346 | 14,656 | 12,539 | 1,865.24 | 55,957 | 118.99 | 470.27 | 86\% |
| 2007FA | 620 | 1,964.5 | 20,810 | 15,034 | 17,230 | 15,554 | 13,267 | 1,997.97 | 59,939 | 129.84 | 461.64 | 85\% |
| 2008FA | 660 | 2,075.5 | 22,195 | 16,888 | 18,867 | 17,562 | 15,008 | 2,227.58 | 66,827 | 137.54 | 485.88 | 85\% |
| 2009FA | 623 | 1,989.0 | 21,919 | 18,095 | 20,615 | 18,763 | 16,250 | 2,374.92 | 71,248 | 134.98 | 527.84 | 87\% |
| 2010FA | 587 | 1,863.5 | 21,012 | 17,463 | 19,733 | 18,237 | 15.923 | 2,305.91 | 69,177 | 123.44 | 560.41 | 87\% |
| 2011FA | 542 | 1,714.0 | 19,078 | 15,549 | 17,963 | 16,586 | 14,819 | 2,061.46 | 61,844 | 113 | 547.29 | 89\% |
| Grand Total | 7954 | 24,471.8 | 271,684 | 194,386 | 229,905 | 208,038 | 177,066 | 25,780.00 | 773,400 | 1573.5 | 491.52 | 85\% |

- EnrActive (Active Enrollments) Number of Students currently enrolled in the course as of the prior day from when the data was accessed.
- What was the number of active
 enrollments in Fall 2011 on 12-1511?


## EIS Section Efficiency Data FTES (FTES Total)

|  | Data |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TermSec | \#Sec | Units | Cap | EnrBeg | EnrMax | EnrCenTotal | EnrActiv | tesTotal | SCH | FacLoad | Wsch/FacLd | \%Retent |
| 2005FA | 545 | 1,736.3 | 19,686 | 13,003 | 15,270 | 13,902 | 11,875 | 1,770.85 | 53,125 | 114.13 | 465.48 | 85\% |
| 2006FA | 569 | 1,799.0 | 19,510 | 13,848 | 16,346 | 14,656 | 12,539 | 1,865.24 | 55,957 | 118.99 | 470.27 | 86\% |
| 2007FA | 620 | 1,964.5 | 20,810 | 15,034 | 17,230 | 15,554 | 13,267 | 1,997.97 | 59,939 | 129.84 | 461.64 | 85\% |
| 2008FA | 660 | 2,075.5 | 22,195 | 16,888 | 18,867 | 17,562 | 15,008 | 2,227.58 | 66,827 | 137.54 | 485.88 | 85\% |
| 2009FA | 623 | 1,989.0 | 21,919 | 18,095 | 20,615 | 18,763 | 16,250 | 2,374.92 | 71,248 | 134.98 | 527.84 | 87\% |
| 2010FA | 587 | 1,863.5 | 21,012 | 17,463 | 19,733 | 18,237 | 15,923 | 2,305.91 | 69,177 | 123.44 | 560.41 | 87\% |
| 2011FA | 542 | 1,714.0 | 19,078 | 15,549 | 17,963 | 16,586 | 14,819 | 2,061.46 | 61,844 | 113 | 547.29 | 89\% |
| Grand Total | 7954 | 24,471.8 | 271,684 | 194,386 | 229,905 | 208,038 | 177,066 | 25,780.00 | 773,400 | 1573.5 | 491.52 | 85\% |

- FTES Total - FTES stands for Full-Time Equivalent Student and is the equivalent of one student taking courses totaling 15 hours per week (e.g.: five 3-unit courses) each semester for two semesters
- What was the total FTES in Fall 2011?


What is the difference between headcount and FTES?

## What is Headcount?

- Headcount is the actual number of students
- Let's look at Kimberly, Robert, Janice, Julie, and Paul



Julie


Golf = 1 unit

For headcount we count the number of students regardless of the number of classes or hours they are taking.

## What is FTES?

- 1 FTES is equal to enrollment in 15 semester hours each week for two 17.5 week semesters or 30 total hours


Intro to Computers $=3$ hours US History $=3$ hours
Marriage \& Family = 3 hours


Julie


Golf = 1 hours

All five of these students
combined are enrolled in a total of 30 weekly hours which is equal to 1

FTES


Piano $=2$ hours


Janice
Statistics $=4$ hours

## Why is FTES important?

- Funding in the state is calculated from the amount of FTES that is generated
- For instance, in Fiscal 2011 we were funded at $\$ 4,565$ for every credit FTES that is generated
- FTES is also used to determine efficiency How efficiently are we serving students?


## EIS Section Efficiency Data

## WSCH

|  | Data |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TermSec | \#Sec | Units | Cap | EnrBeg | EnrMax | EnrCenTotal | EnrActive | FtesTotal | SCH | Load | Wsch/FacLd | \%Retent |
| 2005FA | 545 | 1,736.3 | 19,686 | 13,003 | 15,270 | 13,902 | 11,875 | 1,770.85 | 53,125 | 114.13 | 465.48 | 85\% |
| 2006FA | 569 | 1,799.0 | 19,510 | 13,848 | 16,346 | 14,656 | 12,539 | 1,865.24 | 55,957 | 118.99 | 470.27 | 86\% |
| 2007FA | 620 | 1,964.5 | 20,810 | 15,034 | 17,230 | 15,554 | 13,267 | 1,997.97 | 59,939 | 129.84 | 461.64 | 85\% |
| 2008FA | 660 | 2,075.5 | 22,195 | 16,888 | 18,867 | 17,562 | 15,008 | 2,227.58 | 66,827 | 137.54 | 485.88 | 85\% |
| 2009FA | 623 | 1,989.0 | 21,919 | 18,095 | 20,615 | 18,763 | 16,250 | 2,374.92 | 71,248 | 134.98 | 527.84 | 87\% |
| 2010FA | 587 | 1,863.5 | 21,012 | 17,463 | 19,733 | 18,237 | 15,923 | 2,305.91 | 69.177 | 123.44 | 560.41 | 87\% |
| 2011FA | 542 | 1,714.0 | 19,078 | 15,549 | 17,963 | 16,586 | 14,819 | 2,061.46 | 61,844 | 113 | 547.29 | 89\% |
| Grand Total | 7954 | 24,471.8 | 271,684 | 194,386 | 229,905 | 208,038 | 177,066 | 25,780.00 | 773,400 | 1573.5 | 491.52 | 85\% |

- WSCH - WSCH stands for Weekly Student Contact Hours and is defined as the number of students in a class at census multiplied by the hours of student instruction conducted in that class in a week during a primary (fall or spring) term of an academic year
- In a typical 3-unit course 30 students generate 90 WSCH ( 3 weekly hours * 30 students at census = 90 WSCH).

- What was the total WSCH in Fall 2011?


## What is another way to think of WSCH?

- Let's look at Kimberly, Robert, and Janice again as if they were the only students enrolled in the same section with the same instructor
- If the section meets for 3 hours each week, then how many hours does each student spend "in contact" with the instructor?


US History $=3$ hours


Robert

US History $=3$ hours


Janice
US History $=3$ hours

Together the three students spend 9 hours a week "in contact" with the instructor of US History
$=9$ hours of contact

## Why is WSCH important?

- WSCH is used to calculate FTES
- It is the intermediate step in calculating FTES
- FTES $=($ WSCH * 17.5 weeks) / 525
- FTES $=(90 * 17.5$ weeks $) / 525=3$ FTES
- In general, how much FTES would be generated in a 3 unit section with 30 students enrolled at census?
$-\mathrm{WSCH}=3 * 30=90$
$-(90 * 17.5$ weeks) $/ 525=3$ FTES


## Where does the 17.5 come from?

- 17.5 refers to the number of weeks a section lasts in a primary term for a weekly census section
- Back to the example of a 3 unit weekly census section with 30 students
$-3 * 30=90$ weekly student contact hours with the instructor
- 90 WSCH * $17.5=1,575$ hours
- What does 1,575 hours refer to?
- All of the students enrolled in the 3 unit weekly census section had a total of 1,575 hours of "contact" with the instructor


## Where does the 17.5 come from?

- For every weekly census section, how many weeks does Crafton offer courses in a primary term?
- 18 weeks
- Why do we only get to multiple the number of contact hours by 17.5 and not 18 ?
- The 175-Day Rule


## What is the 175-Day Rule?

- The 175 -Day Rule stated that only the weekdays ( $n=5$ ) of the primary terms could be counted, which resulted in the minimum academic calendar for the two primary terms
- 175 days $/ 5$ weekdays $=35$ weeks
- Because of the 175 -Day Rule the total number of weeks for both primary terms cannot exceed 35

18 week Fall Term

18 week Spring Term
$=36$ weeks
17.5 week Fall Term
17.5 week Spring Term
$=35$ weeks

# Now that we know where 17.5 comes from, where does 525 come from? 

- FTES Formula: (WSCH * 17.5 weeks) / 525
- Remember that 15 weekly contact hours in one semester is equal to 1 FTES


Kimberly
Total $=9 \mathrm{hrs}$
Intro to Computers $=3 \mathrm{hrs}$ US History = 3 hrs Marriage \& Family = 3 hrs


Robert
Total $=\mathbf{2}$ hrs
Piano $=2 \mathrm{hrs}$


Janice

$$
\text { Total }=4 \text { hrs } \quad=15 \text { hrs or } 1 \text { FTES }
$$

Statistics $=4 \mathrm{hrs}$

All three of these students combined enrolled in a total of 15 weekly hours of courses, which is equal to 1 FTES

## Where does 525 come from?

- 15 Weekly Contact Hours * 35 weeks $=525$
-Where did 35 come from again?
- The 175-Day Rule
- 175 days $/ 5$ weekdays $=35$ weeks
- 35 weeks of instruction includes both primary terms
-What does 525 represent?
- One full-time equivalent student will have 525 total "contact" hours with an instructor for one entire year (i.e. two primary terms)


# EIS Section Efficiency Data Faculty Load or FTEF 

|  | Data |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| TermSec | \#Sec | Units | Cap | EnrBeg | EnrMax | EnrCenTotal | EnrActive | FtesTotal | WSCH | FacLoad) | Wsch/FacLd | \%Retent |
| 2005FA | 545 | $1,736.3$ | 19,686 | 13,003 | 15,270 | 13,902 | 11,875 | $1,770.85$ | 53,125 | 114.13 | 465.48 | $85 \%$ |
| 2006FA | 569 | $1,799.0$ | 19,510 | 13,848 | 16,346 | 14,656 | 12,539 | $1,865.24$ | 55,957 | 118.99 | 470.27 | $86 \%$ |
| 2007FA | 620 | $1,964.5$ | 20,810 | 15,034 | 17,230 | 15,554 | 13,267 | $1,997.97$ | 59,939 | 129.84 | 461.64 | $85 \%$ |
| 2008FA | 660 | $2,075.5$ | 22,195 | 16,888 | 18,867 | 17,562 | 15,008 | $2,227.58$ | 66,827 | 137.54 | 485.88 | $85 \%$ |
| 2009FA | 623 | $1,989.0$ | 21,919 | 18,095 | 20,615 | 18,763 | 16,250 | $2,374.92$ | 71,248 | 134.98 | 527.84 | $87 \%$ |
| 2010FA | 587 | $1,863.5$ | 21,012 | 17,463 | 19,733 | 18,237 | 15,923 | $2,305.91$ | 69,177 | 123.44 | 560.41 | $87 \%$ |
| 2011FA | 542 | $1,714.0$ | 19,078 | 15,549 | 17,963 | 16,586 | 14,819 | $2,061.46$ | 61,844 | 113 | 547.29 | $89 \%$ |
| Grand Total | 7954 | $24,471.8$ | 271,684 | 194,386 | 229,905 | 208,038 | 177,066 | $25,780.00$ | 773,400 | 1573.5 | 491.52 | $85 \%$ |

- FTEF - FTEF stands for Full-Time Equivalent Faculty and is also referred to as Faculty Load.
- Teaching 15 units equates to 1 FTE
- Formula for FTEF - teaching units / 15 = FTE
- What was the total FTEF (Faculty Load) in Fall 2011?


## DANGER!



## What is FTEF?

- 1 FTEF is equal to teaching 15 units in one semester

Full-Time Faculty


Adjunct Faculty


In total these two instructors taught five

3-unit sections in business for a total of

15 units or 1 FTEF

Sections Taught
Intro to Business $=3$ units
Intro to Business $=3$ units
Business Management $=3$ units
Sections Taught
Business Law $=3$ units
Business Law $=3$ units

## What is FTEF?

- Formula for FTEF - Teaching Units $/ 15=$ FTE


Sections Taught
Art History I $=3$ units Art History II $=3$ units

Painting $=3$ units
Painting $=3$ units
Special Projects $=1$ unit

Full-Time Faculty


Sections Taught
Art History II $=3$ units Basic Design $=3$ units Drawing $=3$ units Life Drawing $=3$ units Special Projects $=1$ unit

Adjunct Faculty


Sections Taught
Art History I $=3$ units

In total these three instructors taught nine 3 -unit sections and 21 -unit sections in art for a total of 29 units or 1.93 FTEF ( 29 units / $15=1.93$ )
$=29$ units or 1.93 FTEF

# EIS Section Efficiency Data WSCH/FTEF Ratio 

|  | Data |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TermSec | \#Sec | Units | Cap | EnrBeg | EnrMax | EnrCenTotal | EnrActive | FtesTotal | WSCH | FacLoad | FacLd | tent |
| 2005FA | 545 | 1,736.3 | 19,686 | 13,003 | 15,270 | 13,902 | 11,875 | 1,770.85 | 53,125 | 114.13 | 465.48 | 85\% |
| 2006FA | 569 | 1,799.0 | 19,510 | 13,848 | 16,346 | 14,656 | 12,539 | 1,865.24 | 55,957 | 118.99 | 470.27 | 86\% |
| 2007FA | 620 | 1,964.5 | 20,810 | 15,034 | 17,230 | 15,554 | 13,267 | 1,997.97 | 59,939 | 129.84 | 461.64 | 85\% |
| 2008FA | 660 | 2,075.5 | 22,195 | 16,888 | 18,867 | 17,562 | 15,008 | 2,227.58 | 66,827 | 137.54 | 485.88 | 85\% |
| 2009FA | 623 | 1,989.0 | 21,919 | 18,095 | 20,615 | 18,763 | 16,250 | 2,374.92 | 71,248 | 134.98 | 527.84 | 87\% |
| 2010FA | 587 | 1,863.5 | 21,012 | 17,463 | 19,733 | 18,237 | 15,923 | 2,305.91 | 69.177 | 123.44 | 560.41 | 87\% |
| 2011FA | 542 | 1,714.0 | 19,078 | 15,549 | 17,963 | 16,586 | 14,819 | 2,061.46 | 61,844 | / 113 | 547.29 | 89\% |
| Grand Total | 7954 | 24,471.8 | 271,684 | 194,386 | 229,905 | 208,038 | 177,066 | 25,780.00 | 773,400 | 1573.5 | 491.52 | 85 |

- WSCH/FTEF Ratio - Divide the WSCH by the Faculty Load
- What is the WSCH / FTEF Ratio for Fall 2011
- The Fall 2011 WSCH/FTEF Ratio was calculated by dividing the WSCH $(61,844)$ by the Faculty Load $(113)$

What does the WSCH / FTEF Ratio mean?

- The WSCH / FTEF Ratio is an indication of average class size
- A WSCH / FTEF Ratio of 525 is often purported to be target for efficiency because it represents an estimated average class size of 35


## The 525 WSCH / FTEF Ratio and Average Class Size of 35

- How does a WSCH / FTEF Ratio of 525 represent an average class size of 35 ?
- Formula for Average Class Size
- WSCH / FTEF Ratio $\div 15$ = Average Class Size $-525 \div 15=35$
- If we divide a programs WSCH / FTEF Ratio by 15 we get average class size for the program
$-584.98 / 15=39$


## Using Real Data to Show How WSCH/FTEF Ratio is a Representation of Average Class Size

| Course Section \# | Units | Students |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| BUSAD-100-15 | 3 | 77 |
| BUSAD-100-30 | 3 | 79 |
| BUSAD-200-60 | 3 | 48 |
| BUSAD-210-15 | 3 | 51 |
| BUSAD-210-15 | 3 | 52 |
| Total | 15 | 307 |

Step 1: Calculate the WSCH<br>Weekly Hours * \# of Students = WSCH 3 * 307 = 921 WSCH<br>Step 2: Calculate FTEF<br>Teaching Units / $15=$ FTEF 15 / 15 = 1 FTEF<br>Step 3: Calculate WSCH / FTEF Ratio 921 WSCH / 1 FTEF = 921 WSCH / FTEF

Average Class Size
\# of Students / \# of Sections 307 / 5 = 61.4 students

Average Class Size
WSCH/FTEF Ratio $\div 15$
$921 / 15=61.4$ students

How can a program use WSCH / FTEF Ratio Information to Improve Efficiency?

- Each program needs to set their own target for the WSCH / FTEF Ratio
- Low (as defined by program) WSCH / FTEF Ratios may need to result in one or more of the following
- Fewer sections
- More students in each section (i.e. look at fill rate)
- Restructure of curriculum / program


## Questions



## Questions are

 guaranteed in life;Answers aren't.

