## Crafton Hills College - Outcomes Assessment Report

Course: SPEECH - 100
Term: Spring 2012
Date: 10/31/2012

## 1. Learning Outcomes Statement

- Students will demonstrate their ability to construct and organize coherent and audience-centered speeches.
- Students will demonstrate an ability to locate, read, organize and critically evaluate information for incorporation into both informative and persuasive presentations.


## 2. Means of Assessment (Measurement Method)

Elumen Persuasive Speech Assessment (measures organization, audience centeredness, application of persuasive strategies, and use of information).
3. Criteria for Success (Benchmark)
(left blank)

## 4. Summary of Evidence

Assessed Effort - Students will demonstrate their ability to construct and organize coherent and audience-centered speeches.
NS 01234 Total
Audience-centeredness
Speech Communication
Course - SPEECH100 - Elements of Public Speaking
Spring 2012715286397193
Course - SPEECH100H - Elements of Public Speaking - Honors
Spring 2012001045
SLO Subtotals
Spring 201271529631010198


## Organization

Speech Communication
Course - SPEECH100 - Elements of Public Speaking
Spring 20127111165116193
Course - SPEECH100H - Elements of Public Speaking - Honors
Spring 2012001045
SLO Subtotals
Spring 201271112651200198


## Use of Information

Speech Communication
Course - SPEECH100 - Elements of Public Speaking
Spring 2012713296695193
Course - SPEECH100H - Elements of Public Speaking - Honors
Spring 2012001045
SLO Subtotals
Spring 20127133066990198


Assessed Effort - Students will demonstrate an ability to locate, read, organize and critically evaluate information for incorporation into both informative and persuasive presentations.
NS 01234 Total
Application of Persuasive Strategies
Speech Communication
Course - SPEECH100 - Elements of Public Speaking
Spring 2012724287486192
Course - SPEECH100H - Elements of Public Speaking - Honors
Spring 2012001045
SLO Subtotals
Spring 20127242974900197


## 5. Use of Results (Implications for Program Improvement \& Planning)

The scores have gone down in organization (74\% excellence in 2011 to 61\% in 2012), use of information ( $48 \%$ to $40 \%$ ) audience centeredness ( $52 \%$ to $39 \%$ ), application of persuasive strategies ( $59 \%$ to $37 \%$ ) though we discussed the idea that professors are realizing they can be honest with their score because it is not a reflection or evaluation of their overall quality of work. Perhaps we now have a greater understanding of what we are looking for in evaluations. We also discussed that we are perhaps more rigorous in our approach and evaluation than before as we have collectively gained a greater understand of our rubric and what we are looking for specifically.

We discussed the need to have consistent faculty meetings to hold each other accountable. Perhaps even a more standardized approach to the above areas. We discussed that perhaps we should all use Monroe's Motivated Sequence or at the very least encourage use of this format. In addition, sending out an email prior to elumen input stating definitions and providing a rubric for all profs so we are following the same guidelines.

