



Did You Know?

Vol. 22, Fall 2012

Prepared by:
Michelle A. Riggs


Topic: Comparison of 2010-2011 and 2011-2012 CHC Committee Self-Evaluation Results

Overview: To provide a measure of institutional committee effectiveness, the Crafton Council in collaboration with the Office of Institutional Effectiveness, Research and Planning (OIERP) developed a scannable paper survey for committee self-evaluation that was administered in spring 2011 and spring 2012. A portion of the Committee self-evaluation results have been briefly summarized to provide a comparison of perceptions of the managers, faculty, classified staff, and students serving on shared-governance committees at CHC in 2010-2011 and 2011-2012.

Summary of findings:

- In 2011-2012, CHC committee processes, interactions, and outcomes were perceived as equally effective, yet less likely to be perceived as often or almost always collaborative (91%) than in 2010-2011 (94%).
- The CHC committee processes, interactions, and outcomes were more likely to be perceived as often or almost always transparent (91%), Evidence-based (89%), and Efficient (84%) in 2011-2012 when compared with 2010-2011.

The processes, interactions, and outcomes of CHC shared-governance committees were often or almost always...



- Respondents were more likely to agree that the quality of communication was good or very good within the committee (90%), from the committee to the campus (73%), and from constituency groups to the committee (71%) in 2011-2012 when compared with 2010-2011.
- The perceived quality of communication from the committee to the constituency groups has declined from 82% in 2010-2011 to 71% in 2011-2012.

CHC shared-governance committee quality of communication was good or very good...

