

According to Strategic Direction 6 of the Crafton Hills College (CHC) Educational Master Plan (EMP), the college "uses decision making processes that are effective, efficient, transparent, and evidenced-based." At Crafton, committee structures constitute a major component of both planning and decision-making. This report illustrates the results from the Spring 2020 Institutional Effectiveness, Accreditation, and Outcomes committee self-evaluation, completed by <u>8 respondents</u>. Responses to each question was voluntary.

Questions 2-5, prompted respondents to indicate the number of years they have served on the committee they are evaluating, whether they plan to serve on the committee again next year, the number of other CHC committees on which they serve, and their role at the college.

Question	Reponses	#	%
Q2: How long have you served continuously on this committee?	New member this year	4	50.0
	2 years	2	25.0
	3 years	0	0.0
	4 or more years	2	25.0
Q3: Do you expect to serve on this committee again next year?	Yes	6	75.0
	No	1	12.5
	Undecided	Ι	12.5
Q4: On how many other Crafton committees did you serve on this year?		2	25.0
	2	1	12.5
	3	1	12.5
	4	0	0.0
	5 or more	3	37.5
	None		12.5
			-
Q5: What is your primary function now at CHC?	FT Faculty	4	50.0
	PT Faculty	0	0.0
	Classified	I	12.5
	Confidential	0	0.0
	Manager	2	25.0
	Student	0	0.0
	Decline to State	I	12.5

Question 6 directed respondents to indicate how often the committee's processes, interactions, and outcomes during the 2019-2020 academic year reflected each of the characteristics provided below.

Please indicate how often the committee's processes, interactions, and outcomes this year reflected each of the following characteristics:		most Iways	0	ften		me- nes	Sel	dom	Almost Never		No Opinion	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Collaborative: Sharing, inclusive, open to input, respectful of diverse opinions, characterized by meaningful dialogue.	7	87.5	Ι	12.5	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Transparent: Open, easy to understand, clearly defined, characterized by effective and meaningful communication with the College community.	7	87.5	I	12.5	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Evidence-Based: Reliant upon relevant, accurate, complete, timely qualitative and/or quantitative information; not based solely on assertion, speculation, or anecdote.	7	87.5	I	12.5	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Effective: Working properly and productively toward the committee's intended results.	6	75.0	2	25.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Efficient: Performing well with the least waster of time and effort; characterized by serving the committee's specified purposes in the best possible manner.	7	87.5	Ι	12.5	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0

Question 7 directed respondents to indicate the extent to which they agree or disagree with the statements related to their service on the committees overall.

Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with the following statements about your service on this committee overall this year:		Strongly Agree		gree	Dis	agree	Strongly Disagree	
		%	#	%	#	%	#	%
I feel comfortable contributing ideas	7	87.5	Ι	12.5	0	0.0	0	0.0
My ideas are treated with respect, whether or not others agree with them	6	75.0	2	25.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
I have had sufficient opportunities to provide input into committee recommendations	7	87.5	Ι	12.5	0	0.0	0	0.0
The ACCJC Standards that align with the charge of this committee helped to inform the committees actions	8	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
The CHC Comprehensive Master Plan Goals and Objectives that align with the charge of this committee helped to inform the committees actions	8	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0

Please rate the following aspects of the committee's work overall this year:		/ery Good	G	ood	F	air	Poor Very Poor			No Opinion		
committee's work overall this year:	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Clarity of the committee's charge	7	87.5	I	12.5	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Quality of communication within the committee	6	75.0	2	25.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Quality of information flow from the committee to constituency groups	3	37.5	4	50.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	Ι	12.5
Quality of information flow from constituency groups to the committee	2	25.0	2	25.0	2	25.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	25.0
Quality of communication by the committee with the campus community	3	37.5	3	37.5	Ι	12.5	0	0.0	0	0.0	Ι	12.5
Access to data needed for deliberations	6	75.0	Ι	12.5	I	12.5	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Access to meeting space	8	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Access to other resources needed for the committee to work effectively	5	62.5	2	25.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	I	12.5
Training or mentoring for you as a committee member	Ι	12.5	2	25.0	2	25.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	3	37.5
Establishment of expectations or norms for committee members and convener(s)	5	62.5	3	37.5	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Adherence to expectations or norms for committee members and convener(s)	6	75.0	2	25.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0

Question 8 directed respondents to rate aspects of the committee's work overall in the 2019-2020 academic year.

Question 9 is an open-ended question that directed respondents to provide their opinion of the committee's most significant accomplishment for the 2019-2020 academic year. The following comments were provided:

- Accreditation
- Completing the self study for accreditation.
- meeting deadlines
- The Institutional Self-Evaluation Report

Question 10-11 directed respondents to select their committee accomplishments that align with CHC Strategic Directions, ILOs, and/or GEOs and indicate which ACCJC standard it meets.

Responses selected	#	%	Responses selected	#	%
ILO-I-Critical Thinking	2	2.7	GEO-8-Critical Thinking & Information Literacy	2	2.7
ILO-2-Written & Oral Communication	3	4.1	GEO-9-Health & Wellness	2	2.7
ILO-3-Interpersonal & Group Skills	3	4.1	GEO-10-Diversity & Multiculturalism	2	2.7
ILO-4-Society & Culture	2	2.7	Strategic Direction I - Promote Student Success	5	6.8
ILO-5-Information Literacy	2	2.7	Strategic Direction 2 - Build Campus Community	4	5.5
ILO-6-Ethics & Values	2	2.7	Strategic Direction 3 - Develop Teaching + Learning Practices	4	5.5
GEO-1-Natural Science	2	2.7	Strategic Direction 4 - Expand Access	4	5.5
GEO-2-Social & Behavioral Sciences	2	2.7	Strategic Direction 5 - Enhance Value to the Surrounding Community	5	6.8
GEO-3-Humanities	2	2.7	Strategic Direction 6 - Promote Effective Decision Making	6	8.2
GEO-4-Fine Arts	2	2.7	Strategic Direction 7 - Develop Programs and Services	4	5.5
GEO-5-Written Traditions	2	2.7	Strategic Direction 8 - Support Employee Growth	3	4.1
GEO-6-Oral Traditions	2	2.7	Strategic Direction 9 - Optimize Resources	4	5.5
GEO-7-Quantitative Reasoning	2	2.7			
Q11: The committee's accomplishments align with which ACCJC Standards: (select all that apply)	dem achi	nonstr ieveme	I - Institutional Mission & Effectiveness: The institution ates strong commitment to a mission that emphasizes ent of student learning and to communicating the iternally and externally.	5	38.5
		itution port so litate a ming o	2 - Student Learning Programs & Services: The offers high-quality instructional programs, student ervices, and library and learning support services that and demonstrate the achievement of stated student utcomes.	3	23.2
		nan, pł proad	3 - Resources: The institution effectively uses its hysical, technology, and financial resources to achieve educational purposes, including stated student learning a and to improve institutional effectiveness.	3	23.:
	Star reco	ndard 4 ognize	4 - Leadership & Governance: The institution s and utilizes the contributions of leadership ut the organization for continuous improvement of the	2	15.

Question 12, an open-ended question that directed respondents to provide the improvement most needed by the committee in its processes, interactions, outcomes, or other aspect of its work. The following comment was provided:

• Encourage more regular participation from members, especially classified and student member.

institution.

• I would have liked a little more introduction to what I would be doing in the committee. Especially as a new member.

Lastly, question 13 was an open-ended question that provided respondents the opportunity to provide any additional comments. The following comment was provided:

• This academic year the committee focused exclusively on the accreditation report (ISER), which indirectly relates to all strategic directions. Those who consistently participated added much to the development of the ISER and related matters.

For questions, please contact Ruby Zuniga, Research Data Specialist, at <u>rzuniga@craftonhills.edu</u>.