## CRAFTON HILLS COLLEGE STUDENT EQUITY PLAN EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

All of us in the academy and in the culture as a whole are called to renew our minds if we are to transform educational institutions--and society--so that the way we live, teach, and work can reflect our joy in cultural diversity, our passion for justice, and our love of freedom. -bell hooks

#### Introduction

Since the opening of Crafton Hills College (CHC) in 1971, more than 100,000 people of all ages, interests, and backgrounds have enrolled at the College. Crafton Hills College currently serves approximately 5,500 students. Crafton Hills College offers more than 38 majors in the liberal arts and sciences, career and technical studies. The buildings and grounds have been designed to promote community, reflection, growth and learning.

An emphasis on diversity, inclusion, and the growth of each individual is clearly stated in the mission, vision, and values of Crafton Hills College.

- Mission: To advance the educational, career, and personal success of our diverse campus community through engagement and learning.
- Vision: Crafton Hills College will be the college of choice for students who seek deep learning, personal growth, a supportive community, and a beautiful collegiate setting.
- Values: Crafton Hills College values academic excellence, inclusiveness, creativity, and the advancement of each individual.

Crafton Hills College demonstrates a commitment to equity and diversity through its major planning processes, curriculum and instructional programs, services and programming, professional development and hiring practices, and research and evaluation priorities.

Crafton Hills College disaggregates student success data annually in order to identify disproportionately impacted groups, regularly evaluates placement instruments to validate their effectiveness and minimize biases, and has conducted an annual equity audit since 2013. Last year, we included several new groups in our analysis—EOPS, AB540, and non-residents. Though our programming already includes several non-mandated groups, we are also determining way to identify LGBTQ students and those who are homeless and/or food-insecure.

The results of the spring 2017 equity audit indicated that African American students, students in the 20-24 age range, and students with disabilities experience the greatest disproportionate impact at the college. African American students are impacted with regard to access, mathematics and English throughput rates, degree and certificate completion, and transfer rates. Students aged 20-24 struggle with Math and English throughput, degree and certificate completion, and transfer. Finally, students with disabilities are impacted with regard to access, degree and certificate completion, and transfer.

Table 1: Summary of Disproportionate Impact by Status and Outcome.

	Access	Course	Through	put Rate	Deg/Cert	Transfer	#	#
		Success	Math	English	Completion Rate	Rate	DP	RG
Gender								
Female	No	RG	RG	RG	RG	RG	0	4
Male	No	No	No	No	No	No	0	0
Ethnicity								
Asian	No	RG	No	No	RG	RG	0	3
African American	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	5	0
Hispanic	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	2	0
Native Americ.	No	No	NA	NA	NA	NA	0	0
Pacific Islander	Yes	No	NA	NA	NA	NA	1	0
Two or More Races	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	2	0
Caucasian	Yes	No	RG	RG	No	Yes	2	2
Unknown	No	No	NA	NA	Yes	Yes	2	0
Age								
19 or younger	No	No	RG	RG	RG	RG	0	4
20-24	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	4	0
25-29	No	No	Yes	No	NA	NA	1	0
30-34	Yes	No	No	NA	NA	NA	1	0
35-39	Yes	RG	NA	NA	NA	NA	1	1
40-49	Yes	No	No	NA	NA	NA	1	0
50 or older	Yes	No	NA	NA	NA	NA	1	0
Disability	Yes	No	RG	No	Yes	Yes	3	1
Economically Disadvantaged	No	No	No	RG	RG	No	0	2
Foster Youth	No	Yes	NA	NA	NA	NA	1	0
Veteran	Yes	No	NA	NA	NA	NA	1	0
Non-Resident	NA	No	NA	NA	NA	NA	0	0
EOPS	NA	RG	RG	RG	RG	RG	0	5
AB540	NA	No	NA	NA	NA	NA	0	0
Total DP	9	1	3	2	6	7	28	

<sup>•</sup> Note: The green blocks show the disproportionately impacted groups. "**DP**" refers to Disproportionate Impact. "**Yes**" means that DP was present and "**No**" means that it was not present. "**NA**" refers to Not Applicable and refers to subgroups with the number of records below 30. The sub-group was not large enough for a methodologically sound comparison. "**RG**" refers to the Reference Group, is the sub-group with the highest outcome rate, and the sub-group to which all other sub-groups were compare

## **Progress in Meeting Equity Goals**

The most recent analysis shows the college has made significant progress in some areas. The number of disproportionate impacts decreased from 31 in 2016 to 28 in 2017, even though three new groups were added to the analysis. If those groups are excluded from analysis, the number of disproportionate impacts dropped from 31 to 25.

## Disproportionate impact was remedied for the following groups and outcomes:

#### Access

• Native American students

## Math Throughput

- Caucasians
- economically disadvantaged
- 30-34 year-olds

## **English Throughput**

• Hispanic students

Degree and Certificate Completion

males.

### However, new disproportionate impacts emerged:

#### Access

African Americans

## Math Throughput

• 20-29 year olds

Degree and Certificate completion

• Students with Disabilities

### **Transfer Rates**

Caucasian Males

Although disproportionate impact remains for several groups, it is important to note that between 2013-14 and 2016-17, some gaps <u>narrowed</u>. For example, there was a 44% improvement in basic skills mathematics throughput rate of 30-34 year olds. In addition, access improved for 35-39 year olds by 24.%. Some of the largest increases were observed in degree and certificate completion, with African American students increasing completion by 24%, male students by 22%, and Hispanic students by 20%. Although equity gaps persisted in many measures for African American students, the equity gaps continued to narrow for basic skills mathematics throughput rate, which improved by 12.5%, the basic skills English throughput rate, which improved by 11%, and the transfer rate, which showed a 6% gain. Table 2 reveals the incremental improvements for each outcome between 2013-14 and 2016-17.

Table 2. Equity Gap Improvements Across Outcomes

<b>Equity Measure &amp; Student Group</b>	Equity Data Cohort Year		Year-Over-Year
	2013-2014	2016-2017	Improvement
Access			
30-34 year olds	0.726	0.772	6.0%
35-39 year olds	0.375	0.494	24.1%
50 year olds or older	0.056	0.060	6.7%
Course Success Rate			
Foster Youth	49	55.1	11.1%
Math Basic Skills Throughput Rate			
African American	14	16	12.5%
30-34 year olds	12.8	22.9	44.1%
English Basic Skills Throughput Rate			
African American	32.4	36.4	11.0%
Hispanic	44.9	46.4	3.2%
Degree and Certificate Completion Rate			
Male	14.6	18.8	22.3%
African American	13.3	17.5	24.0%
Hispanic	14.1	17.6	19.9%
Transfer Rate			
African American	25.9	27.5	5.8%
Hispanic	22.2	24.5	9.4%

**Note:** "Year-Over-Year Improvement" denotes the percent change [(year2-year1)/year1\*100] within the student group and equity measure from 2013-2014 to 2016-2017

## **Promising Practices**

Since 2014, the college has examined the efficacy of student support practices to discern those that are the most impactful for disproportionately impacted groups. Several practices have emerged as particularly predictive of student success, and as ways to mitigate institutional inequities. These have been incorporated into the equity planning process, and are also prominent in the new Integrated Equity/SSSP/BSI Plan.

<u>Counseling and Educational Planning.</u> African American and Hispanic students who received counseling services were more likely to complete their courses and to persist from fall to spring.<sup>ii</sup>

<u>EOPS</u>. The 2017 equity audit showed that EOPS students were more likely to complete and succeed in their courses, progress to college-level math and English, finish their degrees and certificates, and transfer than their non-EOPS peers.<sup>iii</sup>

<u>First Year Experience: The Left Lane Program</u>. African American and Hispanic Left Lane students were more likely to successfully complete their courses than African American and Hispanic non-participants.<sup>iv</sup>

<u>Learning Communities</u>. African American and Hispanic students in STEM-related learning communities were more likely to successfully complete the course, and to persist from fall to spring than African American and Hispanic non-participants in learning communities.<sup>v</sup>

<u>Study Skills Courses</u>. Students who successfully completed a college success (study skills) courses were more likely to complete their developmental courses than those who did not. The effect was particularly strong for African American and Hispanic students. vi

<u>Tutoring</u>. A fall 2016 study showed that participation in the Tutoring Center was most effective with male, Hispanic, and African American students. Hispanic and African American students who used the Tutoring Center were more likely to complete their course and were more likely to complete the English class in which they were enrolled, than those who did not. Vii Viii

<u>Supplemental Instruction</u>. In fall 2016, students who attended at least one SI session were 15% more likely to successfully complete the course than students in the same section who did not attend an SI session. In particular, Supplemental Instruction positively impacted course success for Hispanic, Caucasian, female, and 20-24-year-old students.<sup>ix</sup>

## Goals, Objectives, and Actions

Based on national, state, and college-level research, Crafton Hills College has selected data-informed and research-based interventions, designed to address disproportionate impact across indicators. Our chief interventions include embedded instructional support and tutoring, intrusive advisement and follow-up, student success and career exploration curriculum, acceleration through mathematics and English, and the development of proven success pathways, and teaching modalities such as EOPS, Left Lane, and distance education. The college has also invested in professional development to increase the cultural competency of employees, to better enable them to teach and to serve a diverse student body, and to promote program and curricular revisions. The table below describes the goals, objectives, and actions of the Student Equity effort, many of which are shared with the Basic Skills Initiative and Student Success and Support Program. Last, the college has invested in ongoing research, which has guided the decision-making in this plan.

2017-2018 Student Equity Goals, Objectives, Actions, and Resources

Goal	Objectives	Actions	Resources
GOAL A: ACCESS Serve a higher proportion of:	Increase access of:  • 30-34 year olds from 6.1 % to 7.6%  • 35-39 year olds from 3.3% to 7.9%  • Veterans from 3.3% to 7.3%  • Students with disabilities from 4.5% to 7.8%	Develop options for re-entry students, including guided pathways and programs in online, weekend, and evening formats  Conduct a marketing study, and engage in targeted outreach to and recruitment of Veterans, individuals with disabilities, and adult learners  Identify courses and programs that meet the employment and training needs of students over age 29  Provide professional development to faculty and staff regarding universal design curriculum, instruction, and service, cultural competency, and best practices.  Provide research and analysis for institutional improvement	Veterans Resource Center Coordinator  Professional Development Coordinator and conference/speaker budget  High Tech Center Specialist/Technology Success Center  Research Analyst

Goal	Objectives	Actions	Resources
GOAL B: COURSE COMPLETION	Increase the course success rate of:  • foster youth students from	Support and grow the new Guardian Scholars program.	Foster Youth Counselor
Improve the course success rate of CHC foster youth students.	49.0% to 58.7%.	Increase the number of students EOPS can serve.	Professional Development Coordinator and conference/speaker budget
		Expand and make mandatory the first- year experience program, and incorporate AVID strategies into the program.	Research Analyst
		Provide professional development to faculty and staff regarding universal design curriculum, instruction, and service, cultural competency, and best practices.	
		Provide research and analysis for institutional improvement	

Goal	Objectives	Actions	Resources
GOAL C: BASIC SKILLS COMPLETION Increase the English throughput rate of:  • African American students • Students aged 20-24; and 25-29 Increase the math throughput rate of African American and economically disadvantaged students.	Objectives  Increase the English throughput rate of:	Provide early alert, intrusive advisement, and follow up services  Invest in embedded tutoring in all basic skills classes; Attach supplemental instruction, tutoring, and/or lab courses to all basic skills English and mathematics courses  Implement principles of Universal Design in basic skills instruction  Promote the principles of the California Acceleration Project  Require students to complete math and English during their first year of college  Provide professional development to faculty and staff regarding universal	Resources Supplemental Instruction Leads Tutors Assistive Technology Specialist Technology Success Center Professional Development Coordinator and conference/speaker budget Research Analyst
		college  Provide professional development to	
		Provide research and analysis for institutional improvement	

Goal	Objectives	Actions	Resources
GOAL D: DEGREE AND	Increase the degree/certificate	Ensure every student has an	Foster Youth Counselor
CERTIFICATE COMPLETION	completion rate of	educational plan.	
Increase the degree/certificate	• Males from 14.6% to 17.2%		Veterans Resource Center
completion rate of:		Adopt the use of culturally relevant	Coordinator
• Male	<ul> <li>African American students</li> </ul>	teaching materials in reading and	
African American	from 13.3% to 16.5%	English	Professional Development
<ul> <li>Hispanic</li> </ul>			Coordinator and travel budget
Native American	Hispanic students from 14.1%	Attach intrusive advising to courses	T
• 20 – 34 years	to 16.5%	Provided embedded tutoring in courses	Tutors
	•	with high fail rates.	Supplemental Instruction Leads
	Native American students from	with high fall faces.	Supplemental instruction Leads
	14.1% to 16.5%	Provide low-cost textbook and	SLA Leads
	• Students ages 20-24 from 10.3% to 17.2%	technology options	22.1 2000
	10.5% to 17.2%		Research Analyst
	Students ages 25-29 from	Develop a schedule that allows degree	
	14.3% to 18.0%	completion within 2 years, including	
	14.5% to 16.6%	year-round scheduling and registration	
	Students ages 30-34 from		
	14.3% to 18.0%.	Develop guided pathways for on-time	
	,	completion and focused support for	
		working-aged adults, CTE students,	
		and undecided majors.	
		Improve scheduling to ensure	
		that students with diverse needs	
		can complete their goals timely.	
		sum complete their gould timery.	
		Provide professional development to	
		faculty and staff regarding universal	
		design curriculum, instruction, and	
		service, cultural competency, and best	
		practices.	
		Dec 11. second and and all 6	
		Provide research and analysis for	
		institutional improvement	

Goal	Objectives	Actions	Resources
GOAL E: TRANSFER	Increase the transfer rate of:	Create mentoring and support services	Transfer field trips
Increase the transfer rate of	<ul> <li>African American students</li> </ul>	and communities that include	
<ul> <li>African American</li> </ul>	from 14.3% to 18.0%	disproportionately impacted groups	Professional Development
<ul> <li>Hispanic</li> </ul>			Coordinator
• students 20 – 24 years old	• Hispanic students from 14.3% to 18.0%	Develop and implement a completion campaign	Research Analyst
		Provide every student with the	
	• Students ages 20-24 from	opportunity to explore transfer options	
	14.3% to 18%	Increase the level of transfer and support services offered at non-traditional times	
		Increase transfer agreements and partnerships with universities and four-year colleges.	
		Partner with universities to offer baccalaureate degrees on campus	
		Provide professional development to faculty and staff regarding universal	
		design curriculum, instruction, and service, cultural competency, and best practices.	
		Provide research and analysis for institutional improvement	

## Student Equity Budget, 2014-15, 2015-16, 2016-17

Student Equity interventions are funded by a variety of sources, including SSSP and Basic Skills Initiative funding. The table below summarizes the Equity expenditures from 2014-15 through 2016-17. The total expenditures line reflects unexpended funds, prior year funding, and state reallocated funds.

Table 3, Student Equity Funding and Expenditures, 2014-2017

	Funding and Sour				2,015	2,016
		Equit	y Allocation	277,749	620,640	620,640
	Reallocated Funds (32)			0	0	107,300
Rollover to December 30 of the Subsequent Year (25)			-120,588	-252,817	-169,712	
	Prior Year, Expended by December 30 (25)				120,588	252,817
	STRS on	Behalf Of C	ontribution	0	0	-15,198
	Tot	al Annual Ex	penditures	157,161	488,411	795,847
<b>Object Cod</b>	Classification	# of FTE	Activity ID	2,014	2,015	2,016
1000	Academic Salaries: Position Title(s)					
1100	Faculty Lead, Diversity and Inclusion	0.5	A,B,C,D,E	0	16,004	33,314
1283	DE Coordinator	0.40	A,B,C,D,E	8,383	40,412	41,421
1283	Veterans Resource Coordinator	0.50	A, B, C, D, E	0	0	43,497
1283	Professional Development Coord	0.50	A,B,C,D,E	40,009	37,901	0
1283	Lead Faculty, Mental Health Initiative	0.50	В	0	0	22,199
1480	DE Coordinator Summer		A,B,C,D,E	0	20,458	0
1480	Foster Youth Counselor	0.25	В	7,708	0	294
1480	Re-Entry Counselor	0.25	A,D,E		15,145	0
1480	Mathematics Instructor Non-Inst	0.10	С	2,160	0	0
Sub-total, 1	L000's			58,260	129,919	140,725
2000	Classified and Other Nonacademic Sala	ries: Positio	n Title(s)			
2181	Research Assistant	0.50	A,B,C,D,E	4,693	23,037	
2181	Student Success Advisor	0.50	В,С	999	22,784	0
2181	Assistive Technology Specialist	0.50	A, B, C, D, E	0	0	13,270
2380	Student Workers Tutoring, EOPS	0.30	Α	0	6,052	6,936
2381	Tutors, Non-Student Hourly	0.00		0	5,592	49,830
2384	Consultant, Mental Health Initiative	0.00	В	0	0	15,410
2386	Research Assistant, Substitute		A,B,C,D,E	0	1,702	17,405
2390	Student Workers, CalWORKS		B,C,D,E	0	0	0
2400	Tutors, Instructional Aides Hourly	3.00	В,С	47,736	108,353	67,185
2401	Tutoring Leads, Non-Student Inst Aides	1.00	В,С	18,577	87,947	125,326
Sub-total, 2	2000's			72,005	255,467	295,362

3000	Employee Benefits							
Зххх	Distance Education Coord.	A,B,C,D,E	0	13,626	12,788			
Зххх	Veterans Resource Coordinator	A, B, C, D, E	0	0	9,467			
Зххх	Student Svs Adjustment				8			
Зххх	Professional Development Coord.	A,B,C,D,E	12,586	11,664	0			
Зххх	Lead Faculty, Diversity and Inclusion	A, B, C, D, E	0	0	11,632			
Зххх	Lead Faculty, Mental Health Initiative	A, B	0	0	7,713			
Зххх	Foster Youth Counselor	В	1,504	0				
Зххх	Re-Entry Counselor	A,D,E	2,659	6,070				
Зххх	Math Instructor Non-Inst	С	224	0	0			
Зххх	Research Assistant	A,B,C,D,E	2,303	17,493	560			
Зххх	Student Success Advisor	B,C	595	12,899	0			
Зххх	Assistive Technology Specialist	С	0	0	2,990			
Зххх	Tutors, Non-Student Hourly &Non St Inst	В	499	2,545	5,006			
Зххх	Consultant, Mental Health Initiative	В	0	0	371			
Зххх	Research Assistant, Substitute	A, B, C, D, E	0	0	850			
Sub-total, 3	8000's		20,370	64,298	51,386			
4000	Supplies & Materials							
4220	Books, Professional Development	B, C, D	1,037	0	448			
4440	Media	Α	0	0	225			
4500	Supplies	A,B,C,D,E	0	2,434	2,685			
4551	Printing	A		0	99			
4700	Food Supplies	А	0	0	2,007			
4750	Meals and Refreshments	A,B,C,D,E		0	0			
Sub-total, 4	1000's		1,037	2,434	5,464			
5000	Other Operating Expenses and Services							
5113	Consultant and Othr Services, Speaker	А	4,500	6,600	93,388			
5120	Contracts/Speakers, Professional Developme	nt A,B,C,D,E	0	0				
5200	Travel and Conference Expenses	A,B,C,D,E	988	17,276	33,330			
5310	Dues and Memberships	А	0	0	292			
5611	Bus/Car Rentals	D, E	0	1,192	2,116			
5621	Software Leases/Licensing, DE Training	A,D,E		6,000	20,483			
5809	Student Travel/Conferences	E	0	4,963	6,250			
5815	Promotional, Vets	Α	0	262	8,824			
Sub-total, 5			5,488	36,293	164,684			
6000	Capital Outlay			Т				
	Computer Equipment	A,B	0	0	4,226			
Sub-total, 6000's 0 4,226								
7000	Other Outgo		_ 1					
	Other Student Aid		0	0	134,000			
	Sub-total, 7000's 0 0 134,000							
<b>Grand Tota</b>	Grand Total (Total Expenditures Cannot Exceed the Student Equity Allocat 157,160 488,411 795,847							

Table 4 describes the **2017-2018** Equity allocation, as well as the ways in which the college is integrating funding streams to advance the equity agenda.

Table 4. 2017-2018 Planned Equity Expenditures, and Sources of Additional Funding

		# of FTE					
Object Code	Classification		Activity ID	Equity	SSSP	BSI	Other Funds
Object Code	Classification		quity Allocation	577,121	333P	DOI	Other Fullus
			ated Funds (32)	0			
	Rollover to December 30 of t		, ,	0			
	Prior Year, Expended by December 30 (25		• • • • • •	162,830			
	n Behalf Of Contribution			0			
			al Expenditures	739,951			
1000	Academic Salaries: Position Title(s)		•				
1201	Certificated Manager	0.3	A,B,C,D,D	49,899			
1283	DE Coordinator	0.40	A,B,C,D,E	42,755			
1283	Veterans Resource Coordinator	0.50	A, B, C, D, E	45,366	45366		
1283	Professional Development Coord	0.50	A,B,C,D,E	22,374			22734
1480	Foster Youth Counselor	0.25	В	20,422			20422
1480	Re-Entry Counselor		A,D,E	0			32971.32
1480	Mathematics Instructor Non-Inst	0.10	С	8,000			
Sub-total, 1000's	5			188,817			
2000	Classified and Other Nonacademic Sala						
2181	Research Assistant		A,B,C,D,E	27,391	27391		_
2181	Student Success Advisor	0.50		0			
2181	Assistive Technology Specialist		A, B, C, D, E	25,875			25875
2181	Administrative Assistant, SS and Equity		A,B,C,D,E	15,394			
2380	Student Workers Tutoring, EOPS	0.30		30,000			
2381	Tutors, Non-Student Hourly	0.00		20,000			
2386	Research Assistant, Substitute		A,B,C,D,E	13,500			
2390	Student Workers, CalWORKS		B,C,D,E	6,856			
2400	Tutors, Instructional Aides Hourly	3.00		63,000			
2401	Tutoring Leads, Non-Student Inst Aides	1.00	В,С	63,000			
Sub-total, 2000's				265,016			
3000	Employee Benefits		1.000	1	T	ı	
Зххх	Distance Education Coord.		A,B,C,D,E	14,060			
Зххх	Veterans Resource Coordinator		A, B, C, D, E	12,622			
3xxx	Student Personnel Admin		A,B,C,D,E	17,739			
3xxx	Professional Development Coord.		A,B,C,D,E	4,855 0			
3xxx	Lead Faculty, Diversity and Inclusion		A, B, C, D, E A, B	0			
3xxx 3xxx	Lead Faculty, Mental Health Initiative Foster Youth Counselor		В	9,050			+
			A,D,E	9,050			+
3xxx 3xxx	Re-Entry Counselor  Math Instructor Non-Inst		C	0			+
			A,B,C,D,E				+
3xxx 3xxx	Research Assistant Student Success Advisor		В,С	13,160 0			+
Зххх	Assistive Technology Specialist		C C	15,073			+
Зххх	Tutors, Non-Student Hourly &Non St Inst		В	12,583			+
3xxx 3xxx	Consultant, Mental Health Initiative		В	12,583			+
3xxx	Research Assistant, Substitute		A, B, C, D, E	0			+
Sub-total, 3000's			г, о, с, о, L	99,142			
300-10tal, 3000				33,142			

4000	Supplies & Materials						
4220	Books, Professional Development		B, C, D	0			
4440	Media			0			
4500	Supplies		A,B,C,D,E	3,510			
4551	Printing			0			
4700	Food Supplies		A	5,960			
4750	Meals and Refreshments			6,463			
Sub-total, 4000's				15,933			
5000	Other Operating Expenses and Services						
5113	Consultant and Othr Services, Speaker		A	54,010			
5120	Contracts/Speakers, Professional Developm	nent	A,B,C,D,E	0			
5200	Travel and Conference Expenses		A,B,C,D,E	20,000			
5207	Cell Phone Allowance			180			
5310	Dues and Memberships		A	300			
5611	Bus/Car Rentals		D, E	11,000			
5809	Student Travel/Conferences		E	46,171			
Sub-total, 5000's				131,661			
6000	Capital Outlay						
6300	Library Books/Expansion			17,800			
6420	Computer/IT Equipment			21,583			
Sub-total, 6000's				39,383			
7000	Other Outgo						
	0 Other Student Aid			0			
Sub-total, 7000's 0							
<b>Grand Total (Total</b>				739,951			

To summarize, the College will expend a total of \$xxxxxxx in 2017-2018 from a variety of funding streams to improve equitable outcomes for all its students. Interventions selected to remedy disproportionate impact will also be embedded in the Integrated Equity/SSSP/BSI Plan, and will align with the college's eventual Guided Pathways approach.

## **Contact Person/Student Equity Coordinator**

The contact person and Student Equity Coordinator for Crafton Hills College is Dr. Rebeccah Warren-Marlatt, Vice President of Student Services.

# **Coordinator, Student Equity**

Rebeccah Warren-Marlatt, Ed.D. Vice President, Student Services Crafton Hills College 11711 Sand Canyon Road Yucaipa, CA 92399-1799 O: (909) 389-3355

C: (951) 201-4434 rmarla@sbccd.cc.ca.us



## **Executive Summary Endnotes**

- iv Pineda, D. (2016). African American and Hispanic Student Disproportionate Impact and Effective Strategies. Research Brief RRN I, 465. Retrieved from the CHC OIERP Website 11/1/2017. http://www.craftonhills.edu/aboutchc/research-and-planning/research-briefs-and-reports/academic-success/documents/aa hisp dis imp eff stgs.pdf
- Y Gamboa, B. (2015). Relationship of student success and Title III HSI STEM grant services: 2011-2012 through 2014-2015 (RRN 1,109). Retrieved from CHC OIERP website: http://www.craftonhills.edu/~/Media/Files/SBCCD/CHC/About%20CHC/Research%20and%20Planning/Research%20B riefs/Academic%20Success%20Studies/RRN%201109%20STEM%20Services%20Impact%2011-12%20to%2014-15.pdf
- vi Wurtz, K. (2015). Relationship between CHC Study Skills Courses and Basic Skills Course Success. Research Brief RRN I, 040. Retrieved from CHC OIERP Website 11/12/2017. http://www.craftonhills.edu/~/media/Files/SBCCD/CHC/About%20CHC/Research%20and%20Planning/Research%20B riefs/Academic%20Success%20Studies/1415 CHCandBasicSkillsCourses Brief.pdf
- vii Pineda, D. (2016). African American and Hispanic Student Disproportionate Impact and Effective Strategies. Research Brief RRN I, 465. Retrieved from CHC OIERP Website 11/1/2017. http://www.craftonhills.edu/aboutchc/research-and-planning/research-briefs-and-reports/academic-success/documents/aa hisp dis imp eff stgs.pdf
- viii Wurtz, K. (2015). Relationship Between Tutoring Center Utilization and Course Success and Completion. Research Brief RRN 1, 129. Retrieved from CHC OIERP Website 11/1/2017. http://www.craftonhills.edu/~/media/Files/SBCCD/CHC/About%20CHC/Research%20and%20Planning/Research%20B riefs/Academic%20Success%20Studies/1516 TC Brief FA13toSP15.pdf
- ix Pineda, D. (2017) Relationship of supplemental instruction (SI) Participation to Course Success for Fall 2016 Term. Research Brief RRN 1587. Retrieved from CHC OIERP Website 11/12/2017. http://www.craftonhills.edu/aboutchc/research-and-planning/research-briefs-and-reports/academic-success/documents/fa16relationshipofsiparticipationtocoursesuccess-final.pdf

Gamboa, B. (2017). Improvement in Student Equity Gaps from 2013-14 to 2016-17. Research Brief RRN 1803. Retrieved from the CHC OIERP Website 11/10/2017. http://www.craftonhills.edu/about-chc/research-andplanning/research-briefs-and-reports/institutional-effectiveness-studies/documents/rrn-1803-equity-gapimprovements-1314to1617.pdf

Gamboa, B. (2015). Relationship of Student Success and Title III HSI STEM Grant Services: 2011-2012 through 2014-2015. Research Brief RRN 1109. Retrieved from the CHC OIERP Website 11/10/2017. http://www.craftonhills.edu/~/media/Files/SBCCD/CHC/About%20CHC/Research%20and%20Planning/Research%20B riefs/Academic%20Success%20Studies/RRN%201109%20STEM%20Services%20Impact%2011-12%20to%2014-15.pdf

Wurtz, K. (2017. 2017 Student Equity Data. RRN 1, 568. Retrieved from CHC OIERP Website 10/31/2017. https://www.craftonhills.edu/about-chc/research-and-planning/research-briefs-and-reports/institutionaleffectiveness-studies/documents/2017-student-equita-data1.pdf