We have held 3 Academic Senate meetings since the last time I addressed the board

- Kim Salt, our amazing curriculum has been reaffirmed as our curriculum chair for 15-16
- Non-credit task force committee formation is being forwarded to the CHC President
- Hiring prioritization review/revision has been forwarded to chairs to work on
- Hiring process recommendations forwarded to VPI for Honors, ETC and Professional Development Coordinator positions
- And we have conducted 3 public readings of our two resolutions

The first resolution was forwarded to the Academic Senate from the CHC Ed Policy committee on 3/25 in response to the AP/BP approval process. I would like to read that now...

Concerning the Vote of No Confidence Resolution...

Since beginning this process on February 18th the Academic Senate has followed an open and transparent process to make certain that everyone has been kept informed and was provided an opportunity to participate in the process.

Since Feb 18<sup>th</sup>, the CHC AS has held 6 agenized, fully noticed public meetings. One of which was held on flex day to make certain all faculty were afforded an opportunity to engage in the discussion and debate on the resolution. Trustee Williams attended that meeting and we would like to again thank him for taking the time to attend the AS meeting.

I was also directed by the Academic Senate to hold two faculty forums during college hour. Which I did on March 30<sup>th</sup> and 31<sup>st</sup> again as a means to remain open and inclusive.

The resolution went through 3 readings and 3 votes – the first two readings passed with a unanimous vote in favor except for 3 non-tenured senators who were advised by the AS to abstain. The final vote occurred yesterday with the majority voting in favor of the perfected resolution, 1 nay and 5 abstentions

I did want to speak to the email I understand you received from two CHC faculty members late last night asserting that the process we have followed has not been inclusive or representative. I want to assure you that is simply not the case. The Academic Senate is a public body and as such all of our deliberations must be open and public as per law. Everyone was informed, all agendas and associated documents have be publically posted 72 hours in advance of the meetings. We have followed the law and the spirit of the Brown act in all of our proceedings. And the majority voted in favor of moving forward with this resolution... which I will now read to the board.