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Overview: The following illustrates the success and retention rates for Crafton Hills College (CHC) students from 2008-2009 to 2009-2010 who were enrolled in Distance Education (DE) internet course sections only by age, ethnicity and gender.

## Summary of Findings:

- The number of students at CHC in Distance Education courses decreased from 1,638 in 2008-2009 to 1,417 in 2009-2010, a 15\% decrease.
- In 2008-2009, African American (48.8\%) and Native American (50.0\%) students were statistically significantly and substantially less likely to complete a DE course than all DE students (63.5\%).
- Statistically significant and substantial differences between specific groups and the entire group of selected students were not found for age and gender in 2008-2009 and for age, gender, and ethnicity in 2009-2010.

Methodology: CHC student demographic data, retention and success rates were gathered from Datatel for academic years 2008-2009 and 2009-2010. The filter for this data was the Distance Education instruction method DE72, in which faculty and students interact asynchronously via threaded discussion, email, etc. (e.g., online) with limited face to face contact.

Retention rate is defined as the number of $A, B, C, D, F, C R / P, N C / N P$, or I grades divided by the total number of grades on record (GOR): A, B, C, D, F, CR/P, NC/NP, W or I.

Success rate is defined as the number of $A, B, C$, or $C R / P$ grades divided by the total number of grades on record (GOR): A, B, C, D, F, CR/P, NC/NP, W or I.

The "four-fifths rule" or the " $80 \%$ rule" was applied to identify any substantial differences in the overall retention and success rates between the groups examined. The "four-fifths rule" originated with the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission and is used to determine if any race, sex, or ethnic group is experiencing an adverse impact by the use of employment selection procedures (Title 29-Labor §1607.4). According to the EEOC,

A selection rate for any race, sex, or ethnic group which is less than four-fifths (or eighty percent) of the rate for the group with the highest rate will generally be regarded by the Federal enforcement agencies as evidence of adverse impact, while a greater than four-fifths rate will generally not be regarded by Federal enforcement agencies as evidence of adverse impact.

In this case, the "four-fifths rule" is being used to determine whether there are substantial differences. It is important to mention that, as is done by the EEOC, comparisons were made to the overall retention and success rates and not within age, ethnicity, or gender (e.g.: male to female). Upon request future research can explore differences within age, ethnicity, and gender. A substantial difference exists when multiplying the total percent of students within a group by $80 \%$ results in a percentage that exceeds any other percentage within the group. Accordingly, a difference exists between the specific group and the entire group of selected students.

As an illustration, the percentage of African American (48.8\%) and Native American CHC students ( $50.0 \%$ ) fell below the overall success rate within the 2008-2009 academic year. Specifically, if we multiply the overall $2008-2009$ CHC DE success rate of $68.4 \% * 80 \%$ we get $54.7 \%$. Any ethnic group with a success rate lower than $54.7 \%$ would be considered to have a large enough difference from the group to warrant further examination.

It is important to note here that according to $\S 1607.4$ of Title 29, "Greater differences in selection rate may not constitute adverse impact where the differences are based on small numbers and are not statistically significant..." The Chi-Square statistic was used to identify statistically significant differences between the groups and the entire group of selected students. In order to show significance, " $p$ " or the probability that the difference is due to chance, needs to be less than 05 .

## Findings:

Age by Retention, and Success. In 2008-2009, students who were between $40-49$ years old had the highest retention rate ( $87.1 \%$, see Table 1). For all of the other age ranges the retention rate remained above $80 \%$ with the exception of students who were $20-24$ years old (79.7\%) and $30-$ 34 years old ( $76.7 \%$ ). At the same time, using the $80 \%$ rule described in the Methodology Section, there were no substantial statistically significant differences between the age ranges and the overall retention rate of $80.8 \%$.

Table 1: 2008-2009 CHC Distance Education Student Retention by Age.

| Age | Retained | Total | \% Retained |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19 or younger | 358 | 437 | 81.9 |
| $20-24$ | 514 | 645 | 79.7 |
| $25-29$ | 178 | 220 | 80.9 |
| $30-34$ | 92 | 120 | 76.7 |
| $35-39$ | 63 | 78 | 80.8 |
| $40-49$ | 88 | 101 | 87.1 |
| 50 or older | 31 | 37 | 83.8 |
| Total | 1,324 | 1,638 | 80.8 |

Chi-Square $=5.015, \mathrm{df}=6, \mathrm{p}=.542$
In 2009-2010 students who were 50 years old or older had the highest retention rate (95.7\%, see Table 2). For all of the other age ranges the retention rate remained above $80 \%$ with the exception of students who were $25-29$ years old (79.1\%). Again, using the $80 \%$ rule described in the Methodology Section, there were no substantial statistically significant differences between the age ranges and the overall retention rate of $85.2 \%$. It is also important to note that the distance education retention rate increased from $80.8 \%$ in $2008-2009$ to $85.2 \%$ in $2009-2010$.

Table 2: 2009-2010 CHC Distance Education Student Retention by Age.

| Age | Retained | Total | \% Retained |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19 or younger | 340 | 389 | 87.4 |
| $20-24$ | 452 | 544 | 83.1 |
| $25-29$ | 163 | 206 | 79.1 |
| $30-34$ | 89 | 99 | 89.9 |
| $35-39$ | 57 | 65 | 87.7 |
| $40-49$ | 83 | 90 | 92.2 |
| 50 or older | 22 | 23 | 95.7 |
| Unknown | 1 | 1 | 100.0 |
| Total | 1,207 | 1,417 | 85.2 |

Chi-Square $=17.169, d f=7, p=.016$
In 2008-2009, students who were 50 years old or older had the highest success rate ( $75.7 \%$, see Table 3). For all of the other age ranges the success rate remained above $60 \%$ with the exception of students who were 19 years old or younger ( $57.9 \%$ ). Again, using the $80 \%$ rule there were no substantial statistically significant differences between the age ranges and the overall success rate of $63.5 \%$.

Table 3: CHC Distance Education Student Success by Age 2008-2009.

| Age | Successful | Total | \% Successful |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19 or younger | 253 | 437 | 57.9 |
| $20-24$ | 417 | 645 | 64.7 |
| $25-29$ | 141 | 220 | 64.1 |
| $30-34$ | 76 | 120 | 63.3 |
| $35-39$ | 54 | 78 | 69.2 |
| $40-49$ | 71 | 101 | 70.3 |
| 50 or older | 28 | 37 | 75.7 |
| Total | 1,040 | 1,638 | 63.5 |

Chi-Square $=11.811, \mathrm{df}=6, \mathrm{p}=.066$
In 2009-2010, students who were 50 years old or older had the highest success rate ( $95.7 \%$, see Table 4). For all of the other age ranges, the success rate varied from 63.6\% (25-29 year olds) to $83.1 \%$ (35-39 year olds). Using the $80 \%$ rule there were no substantial statistically significant differences between the age ranges and the overall success rate of $68.4 \%$.

Table 4: CHC Distance Education Student Success by Age 2009-2010.

| Age | Successful | Total | \% Successful |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19 or younger | 259 | 389 | 66.6 |
| $20-24$ | 354 | 544 | 65.1 |
| $25-29$ | 131 | 206 | 63.6 |
| $30-34$ | 78 | 99 | 78.8 |
| $35-39$ | 54 | 65 | 83.1 |
| $40-49$ | 70 | 90 | 77.8 |
| 50 or older | 22 | 23 | 95.7 |
| Missing | 1 | 1 | 100.0 |
| Total | 969 | 1,417 | 68.4 |

Chi-Square $=29.023$, df $=7, p=.000$
Ethnicity by Retention, and Success. In 2008-2009, students who considered themselves to be Other ethnicity, had the highest retention rate ( $87.5 \%$, see Table 5). For all the other ethnicities, the retention rate remained above $70 \%$. Using the $80 \%$ rule there were no substantial statistically significant differences between individual ethnic groups and the overall retention rate of $80.8 \%$.

Table 5: CHC Distance Education Student Retention by Ethnicity from 2008-2009.

| Ethnicity | Retention | Total | \% Retained |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Asian | 63 | 77 | 81.8 |
| African American | 59 | 84 | 70.2 |
| Hispanic | 300 | 369 | 81.3 |
| Native American | 13 | 20 | 65.0 |
| Other | 7 | 8 | 87.5 |
| Caucasian | 818 | 993 | 82.4 |
| Decline to State | 43 | 58 | 74.1 |
| Missing | 21 | 29 | 72.4 |
| Total | 314 | 1,324 | 80.8 |

Chi-Square $=14.182, \mathrm{df}=7, \mathrm{p}=.048$
In 2009-2010, Native American students had the highest retention rate ( $100 \%$, see Table 6). For all the other individual ethnicities the retention rate remained above $80 \%$ with the exception of African American students (79.2\%). Using the $80 \%$ rule, there were no substantial statistically significant differences between individual ethnic groups and the overall retention rate of $85.2 \%$.

Table 6: CHC Distance Education Student Retention by Ethnicity 2009-2010.

| Ethnicity | Retention | Total | $\%$ Retained |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Asian | 54 | 66 | 81.8 |
| African American | 61 | 70 | 79.2 |
| Hispanic | 275 | 305 | 90.2 |
| Native American | 8 | 8 | 100.0 |
| Other | 14 | 15 | 93.3 |
| Caucasian | 744 | 887 | 83.9 |
| Decline to State | 28 | 33 | 84.8 |
| Missing | 23 | 26 | 88.5 |
| Total | 1,207 | 1,417 | 85.2 |

Chi-Square $=12.1356, \mathrm{df}=7, \mathrm{p}=.089$
In 2008-2009, Asian students had the highest success rate (67.5\%, see Table 7). Using the 80\% rule there was a substantial statistically significant difference between the African American (48.8) and Native American (50\%) students and the other individual ethnic groups and the overall success rate of $63.5 \%$. This difference indicated that in 2008-2009 African American and Native American students would be less likely to be successful in a DE course than the overall success rate for all of the ethnic groups.

Table 7: CHC Distance Education Student Success by Ethnicity from 2008-2009.

| Ethnicity | Successful | Total | \% Successful |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Asian | 52 | 77 | 67.5 |
| African American | 41 | 84 | 48.8 |
| Hispanic | 217 | 369 | 58.8 |
| Native American | 10 | 20 | 50.0 |
| Other | 5 | 8 | 62.5 |
| Caucasian | 664 | 993 | 66.9 |
| Decline to State | 33 | 58 | 56.9 |
| Missing | 18 | 29 | 62.1 |
| Total | 1,040 | 1,417 | 68.4 |

Chi-Square $=19.418, \mathrm{df}=7, \mathrm{p}=.007$
In 2009-2010, students who considered themselves to be Other ethnicity, had the highest success rate ( $86.7 \%$, see Table 8 ). Using the $80 \%$ rule there were no substantial statistically significant differences between the other individual ethnic groups and the overall success rate of $68.4 \%$. In addition, the differences found for African American and Native American students were not found in the 2009-2010 academic year.

Table 8: CHC Distance Education Student Success by Ethnicity 2009-2010.

| Ethnicity | Successful | Total | \% Successful |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Asian | 44 | 66 | 66.7 |
| African American | 44 | 77 | 57.1 |
| Hispanic | 208 | 305 | 68.2 |
| Native American | 5 | 8 | 62.5 |
| Other | 13 | 15 | 86.7 |
| Caucasian | 619 | 887 | 69.8 |
| Decline to State | 21 | 33 | 63.6 |
| Missing | 15 | 26 | 57.7 |
| Total | 969 | 1,417 | 68.4 |

Chi-Square $=9.567, \mathrm{df}=7, \mathrm{p}=.214$
Gender by Retention and Success. In 2008-2009, female students had the highest retention rate ( $81.9 \%$, see Table 9). For male students, the retention rate was $78.7 \%$. Using the $80 \%$ rule, there were no substantial statistically significant differences between male or female and the overall retention rate of $80.8 \%$.

Table 9: CHC Distance Education Student Retention by Gender from 2008-2009.

| Gender | Retained | Total | $\%$ Retained |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Female | 912 | 1,113 | 81.9 |
| Male | 406 | 516 | 78.7 |
| Missing | 6 | 9 | 66.7 |
| Total | 1,324 | 1,638 | 80.8 |

Chi-Square $=3.588, \mathrm{df}=2, \mathrm{p}=.166$
In 2009-2010, female students had the highest retention rate ( $86.1 \%$, see Table 10). For male students, the retention rate was $83.3 \%$. Using the $80 \%$ rule, there were no substantial statistically significant differences between male or female and the overall retention rate of $85.2 \%$.

Table 10: CHC Distance Education Student Retention by Gender from 2009-2010.

| Gender | Retained | Total | \% Retained |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Female | 736 | 913 | 86.1 |
| Male | 415 | 498 | 83.3 |
| Missing | 6 | 6 | 100.0 |
| Total | 1,207 | $1,4,17$ | 85.2 |

Chi-Square $=2.988, \mathrm{df}=2, \mathrm{p}=.224$
In 2008-2009, female students had the highest success rate between genders ( $66.1 \%$, see Table 11). For male students, the success rate was $58.1 \%$. Using the $80 \%$ rule, there were no substantial statistically significant differences between male or female and the overall success rate of $63.5 \%$.

Table 11: CHC Distance Education Student Success by Gender from 2008-2009.

| Gender | Successful | Total | \% Successful |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Female | 735 | 1,113 | 66.1 |
| Male | 300 | 516 | 58.1 |
| Missing | 5 | 9 | 55.6 |
| Total | 1,040 | 1,638 | 63.5 |

Chi-Square $=9.734, \mathrm{df}=2, \mathrm{p}=.008$
In 2009-2010, female students had the highest success rate between genders ( $70.4 \%$, see Table 12). For male students, the success rate was $64.5 \%$. Using the $80 \%$ rule, there were no substantial statistically significant differences between male and female and overall rate of $68.4 \%$.

Table 12: CHC Distance Education Student Success by Gender from 2009-2010.

| Gender | Successful | Total | \% Successful |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Female | 643 | 913 | 70.4 |
| Male | 321 | 498 | 64.5 |
| Missing | 5 | 6 | 83.3 |
| Total | 448 | 969 | 68.4 |

Chi-Square $=5.934, \mathrm{df}=2, \mathrm{p}=.051$

