

Evening President Longville and members of the board.

I would like to first speak to the 6 ACCJC college specific recommendations. Since being made aware of these issues, the faculty in consultation with the College leadership has addressed each of the identified issues. We have done this as a team and without the need for any additional funds or outside experts. As a result of our efforts we ...

made significant progress on our SLO's – 90% of the course outcomes are done, 84% of the program level outcomes are done, and our ILOs are now 100% complete

are moving forward with a recommendation to retain a Distributed Education Coordinator who will not only oversee the revision of the CHC DE plan but will also assist with the long term development and improvement of the DE program – a position BTW that was included in our board approved Student Success Plan

have developed, approved and forwarded to Crafton Council, a program viability plan, which will not only address discontinuance but also provide a process by which new programs can be considered

The remaining three minor recommendations relating to the College Catalogue, Mission Statement – and Performance Evaluations have all been resolved.

-----  
Concerning the District recommendations... “The CHC Academic Senate has directed me to communicate to SBCCD Board of trustees the state of mind of the CHC Academic Senate regarding our current accreditation status.” The CHC AS fully supports the findings of the Accrediting commission –Furthermore we believe, it is these 4 District Level Recommendations that has resulted in both Crafton Hills College and our Sister College SBV to be put on Warning by ACCJC.

- Yesterday The CHC Academic Senate discussed at length, the position Crafton Hills College is now in as a result of being put on warning... and the subsequent ill-effects. We are upset by the loss of the opportunity to move forward with a bachelor's degree program – as well as the loss of confidence in the college by not only our students but with the community at large.
- The feelings of the Academic Senate are those of anger and frustration because of all the hard work that has been done on our campus to obtain our previous accreditation affirmation as well as all the work that went into the development and submission of our proposed bachelor's degree program.
- Again, the CHC academic senate lays the cause of being put on warning at the doorstep of the Board members and the Chancellor as a direct result of the district level recommendations as identified by the ACCJC. The recommendations made to both CHC and Valley were minor, and similar recommendations for improvement noted by ACCJC at other California community colleges did not result in those colleges being put on warning.
- Finally, the CHC Academic Senate wants the board to know that we fully support the Academic Senate at our sister college in the action they have taken regarding the leadership of this district.