

# Crafton Hills College Budget Committee Minutes

**Date: October 18, 2016**  
**Time: 1:00 p.m. – 2:30 p.m.**  
**Location: CCR 247**

**Members (Absent\*):**

Mike Strong (Chair)  
 \*Rebecca Warren-Marlatt  
 \*Bryan Reece  
 \*Tina Gimple

Stephen Ramirez  
 Daniel Sullivan  
 Scott Rippey  
 Kathy Crow

\*Ginger Sutphin  
 \*Jose Murguia (student)  
 \*Kirsten Colvey

TOPIC	DISCUSSION	FURTHER ACTION
Review and approve minutes		
Review Committee Charge	Approved with the following changes: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Change the date</li> <li>• Remove “and Resource Development” from the Committee Composition Section</li> </ul>	
Allocation Model & Final Budget	<p>When the economy gets better and the job market improves, working students tend to take less units. Community Colleges have not been able to meet the growth targets. From a funding perspective the system is funded for 2% if we capture it; SBCCD has projected we could capture 4.2% (State’s Constrained Growth Cap). If we do not capture it we lose the funding.</p> <p>Mike went through the multi-year budget allocation model looking at revenues, assessments and expenses. The following are the highlights:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Base allocation slightly increased from \$3,596,898 to \$3,601,294</li> <li>• Rate per FTES increased from \$4743 to \$5004 from beginning of 15-16; representing a 4.22% increase from the beginning of 2015-16 to the end of 2015-16 then another 1.23% increase from \$4,923 to \$5,004.</li> <li>• Revenue shortfall percent is a placeholder “just in case” and could go away if state revenues come in higher than projected.</li> <li>• Full-time faculty funding that we received in 15-16 was apparently carried to the colleges through increase in the rate per FTES. Thus, special funding for this went away.</li> </ul>	

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The assessment for the EDCT was reduced to \$0.</li> <li>• Total site budget allocation increased by \$2.97% for CHC for this year; however, expenses increased by 4.16%.</li> <li>• We still project a \$565,449 budget excess; however, this is based upon CHC making it to 5029 FTES.</li> </ul>	
<p>State Budget Update</p>	<p>Proposition 55- There have been a number of discussions and questions about Proposition 55. Proposition 30, passed in 2012, had 2 components; sales tax and personal income tax. The sales tax component is scheduled to expire December 31, 2016 and the personal income tax expires December 31, 2018. Prop 55 is the proposal to extend the personal income tax component through 2030.</p> <p>2017-18 System Budget Request- The Board of Governors top request is \$125 million for 2% access/growth in FTES. We are getting a new chancellor, Eloy Oakley from Long Beach City College. He has been "in the field" and Long Beach has struggled with enrollment, so he is aware of the challenges that districts are facing with these enrollment targets. This is the first ask, so we will likely have many changes as the budget cycle continues. The BOG usually supports the Chancellor's recommendations. Perhaps the new Chancellor will have a new perspective which will make more sense for the environment that we are in now.</p>	
<p>Communication of the RAM to the campus</p>		
<p>2016-17 Goals</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Budget Communications</li> <li>• Training</li> <li>• Program Review &amp; Resource Allocation</li> <li>• Total Cost of Ownership</li> <li>• Understanding Instructional Support Block Grant, Lottery, SSSP, and SE</li> </ul>		

New Business	It was requested by the committee to review at the next meeting the program review objectives with resource requests and potential funding. Also, begin looking at SSSP guidelines, amounts and spending plan.	
Closing Next Meeting: 11/15/16 @ 1:00-2:30pm, in CCR 247		